

1 **Title page**

2  
3  
4 **Applicability of the Locus of Control of Behaviour scale for People with Dementia**

5  
6  
7 **Ingeborg Halse<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Guro Hanevold Bjørkløf<sup>1</sup>; Knut Engedal<sup>1,2</sup>; Anne Marie Mork**

8 **Rokstad<sup>1,4</sup>; Karin Persson<sup>1,2</sup>; Rannveig Sakshaug Eldholm<sup>5,6</sup>; Geir Selbæk<sup>1,2,3</sup>; Maria**

9 **Lage Barca<sup>1,2</sup>**

10 <sup>1</sup> Norwegian National Advisory Unit on Ageing and Health, Vestfold Hospital Trust, Norway

11 <sup>2</sup> Department of Geriatric Medicine, Oslo University Hospital-Ullevål, Oslo, Norway

12 <sup>3</sup> Faculty of Medicine, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

13 <sup>4</sup> Faculty of Health Sciences and Social Care, Molde University College, Molde, Norway

14 <sup>5</sup> Department of Neuromedicine and Movement Science, Norwegian University of Science  
15 and Technology (NTNU), Trondheim, Norway.

16 <sup>6</sup> Department of Geriatrics, St. Olavs Hospital, Trondheim, Norway

17  
18 **Corresponding author:** Ingeborg Halse, Norwegian National Advisory Unit on Ageing and  
19 Health, Postboks 2136, 3103 Tønsberg, Norway.

20 Telephone: (+47) 22117728 Fax number: (+47) 23016161

21 E-mail: ingeborg.halse@aldringoghelse.no

22  
23 **Funding:** The project is funded by the Research Council of Norway (Grant numbers  
24 222083/H10 and 2013058).

25 **Acknowledgements:** The authors would like to thank the many participating centers across  
26 Norway: 53 day-care centers, 19 in-home care units and 3 hospitals. We also thank those who  
27 assisted with data collection. And finally, we especially thank all the participants who  
28 volunteered their time to our study.

29 **Disclosure statement:** The authors report no conflict of interest.

30 **Data availability:** The data that support the findings in this manuscript are available from the

31 corresponding author (IH), upon reasonable request.

32

33

## Abstract

34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59

**Objective:** To investigate the applicability of the LoCB for people with dementia.

**Method:** A sample of 534 participants with dementia (78.4 mean age, 58% female) were included. Assessment included the LoCB, the Montgomery–Aasberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS), the Mini-Mental Status Examination Norwegian revised (MMSE-NR) and the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (I-ADL). Completion percentages and internal reliability of LoCB were examined for predefined MMSE-NR groups (0-4, 5-9, 10-14, 15-19, 20-24, 25-27, and 28-30). Factors associated with completion were analysed, and a Principal component analysis (PCA) of the LoCB was performed. Sum score and component subscale scores were compared to MADRS and MMSE-NR scores.

**Results:** In total, 234 participants completed the LoCB. Completion percentages ranged from 74% (MMSE-NR 28-30) to 0% (MMSE-NR 0-9). Internal reliability was between 0.80 and 0.72 in groups with MMSE-NR>9, except in MMSE-NR 20-24 (0.52). Age, MMSE-NR and education were associated with completion. The PCA yielded three components – powerful others, internal, and luck/fate – with explained variance of 41.3%. Participants with MADRS>7 scored higher on the LoCB sum score, powerful others and internal subscale scores. No difference was found regarding the luck/fate subscale score. MMSE-NR did not affect LoCB scores.

**Conclusion:** Older age, less education, and more cognitive impairment decreased the likelihood of completion. However, psychometric test results indicate that those who completed the LoCB understood the questions, even with severe cognitive impairment. We conclude, therefore, that the LoCB is applicable for investigating control orientation among people with dementia.

60

## **Keywords**

61

62 Dementia, Depression, Locus of Control, Psychotherapeutic interventions

63

## Main text

64  
65  
66  
67  
68  
69  
70  
71  
72  
73  
74  
75  
76  
77  
78  
79  
80  
81  
82  
83  
84  
85  
86  
87  
88

### Introduction

Until a cure is discovered for dementia, helping people cope with their disease should be a major focus. One of the most common comorbid disorders to dementia is depression, with prevalence rates reported between 20% and 45% (Barca, Selbæk, Engedal, & Laks, 2009; Enache, Winblad, & Aarsland, 2011; Kitching, 2015). Locus of control (LoC), defined as the extent to which one expects events to be a consequence of one's own behaviour as opposed to being controlled by outside forces (Rotter, 1966), has repeatedly been associated with depression (Benassi, Sweeney, Dufour, & Fowles, 1988; Bjørkløf, Engedal, Selbæk, Kouwenhoven, & Helvik, 2013). Furthermore, recent research has shown that an external LoC is also significantly related to depression among older persons (Bjørkløf et al., 2015). However, little research has been done on LoC orientation among people with dementia (Bjørkløf et al., 2013), and it is important not to take for granted that we know how psychological constructs underlying depression should be understood in this population.

If a biopsychosocial understanding of depression is assumed (Fiske, Wetherell, & Gatz, 2009), psychotherapeutic interventions can help those affected by dementia and depression, and we can reduce the need for psychotropic medication in this vulnerable patient group. Until now, psychotherapeutic interventions have been unusual, but this appears to be changing (Areán et al., 2010; Kiosses et al., 2015; Kurz et al., 2012). A systematic review and meta-analysis of psychological treatments for depression and anxiety for people with dementia indicate that cognitive behavioural therapy, interpersonal therapy, counselling and multimodal interventions are all effective treatments (Orgeta, Qazi, Spector, & Orrell, 2015). Investigations into which psychological constructs play a part in the development,

89 maintenance, and recovery from depression among people with dementia is, therefore, an  
90 important supplement to this developing field and should guide therapeutic interventions.

91

92

93 In the present study, we investigated the applicability of the Norwegian version of the *Locus*  
94 *of Control of Behaviour scale* (LoCB) (Craig, Franklin, & Andrews, 1984) for people with  
95 dementia. This was done by examining how many participants with different degrees of  
96 cognitive deficit completed the full scale, the internal reliability of the responses, and what  
97 factors appeared to explain the likelihood of completion. Furthermore, we examined the  
98 component structure of the LoCB, and whether LoCB scores differed according to the degree  
99 of depression symptomatology and the degree of cognitive impairment.

100

## 101 **Method**

### 102 *Participants*

103 Participant data came from two projects: 273 from the follow-up part of the project  
104 “Prognosis of Alzheimer’s Disease and Resource Use” (PADR), which included patients  
105 referred to two memory clinics and one geriatric outpatient unit in Norway (Barca et al.,  
106 2017), and 261 from “Effects and Costs of a Day Care Centre Program Designed for People  
107 with Dementia” (ECOD), a study carried out in primary healthcare (Rokstad et al., 2014).  
108 Details regarding inclusion and exclusion criteria can be found in the previously published  
109 papers (Barca et al., 2017; Rokstad et al., 2014). In both projects, all measures were  
110 interviewer administered, and the dementia diagnosis was independently confirmed by two  
111 experienced physicians after a comprehensive clinical assessment. The vast majority, 81.1%,  
112 were diagnosed with Alzheimer’s dementia (AD), and 8.1% with vascular dementia (VaD).  
113 The remaining 1.9% had combined AD/VaD, Lewy body dementia, Parkinson’s disease with

114 dementia, frontal lobe dementia or another dementia. Of a total of 534 participants, 234 had  
115 complete data on the LoCB (77.3 mean age, 53.4% female) and 300 had between one and 17  
116 missing-item responses on the LoCB (79.3 mean age, 62% female).

117

### 118 *Ethical consideration*

119 The project has been accepted by the Regional Committee in Ethics in Medical Research in  
120 South-East Norway; REK South-East case numbers 2013/1020 and 2011/531.

121

### 122 *Patient consent*

123 After written and oral information about the project, the participants were asked to give  
124 written informed consent. Only participants with the capacity to give consent were included.

125

### 126 *Assessments*

127 The LoCB contains 17 questions with a six-point Likert-type scale ranging from zero to five  
128 for each question. The score on items 1, 5, 7, 8, 13, 15 and 16 are inverted, and the total sum  
129 score indicates the degree of externality. Scores vary from zero to 85, with higher scores  
130 indicating an external LoC orientation (Craig et al., 1984). The scale has been translated into  
131 Norwegian and back-translated (Nordtug, Krokstad, & Holen, 2011). The Norwegian version  
132 has been applied in several studies in Norway (Bjørkløf et al., 2015; Bruvik, Ulstein, Ranhoff,  
133 & Engedal, 2013; Dyb, Holen, Steinberg, Rodriguez, & Pynoos, 2003; Helvik et al., 2016).

134

135 The revised Norwegian version of the Mini-Mental Status Examination (MMSE-NR) was  
136 used to measure global cognitive function. The scale is a well-established screening tool for  
137 cognitive impairment, with 20 items measuring a wide range of cognitive functions. Scores  
138 vary from zero to 30, with higher scores indicating better cognitive function (Strobel &  
139 Engedal, 2008).

140

141 The Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating scale (MADRS) was used to measure depressive  
142 symptoms. The scale measures symptoms present during the past week and consists of ten  
143 items. Sum scores vary from zero to 60, with higher scores indicating more severe  
144 symptomatology ((Montgomery & Asberg, 1979). The Norwegian version of the MADRS has  
145 been validated for use among people with dementia, and the best cut-off indicating depression  
146 was found at >7 (Knapskog, Barca, & Engedal, 2011), in contrast to a cut-off of 14 among old  
147 people without dementia (Engedal et al., 2012).

148

149 The Instrumental Activities of Daily Living (I-ADL) was used to measure the ability to  
150 perform the activities of daily life. The I-ADL has eight items with a possible sum score  
151 between eight and 31. A higher score indicates poorer independent functioning (Lawton &  
152 Brody, 1969).

153

#### 154 *Statistical analysis*

155 The data were analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS), version  
156 21.0. Comparisons between groups with complete and incomplete LoCBs were made using  
157 the independent sample t-test and the Mann–Whitney U test/Kruskal–Wallis test for normally  
158 distributed and skewed continuous data, respectively. Age and LoCB sum scores were found  
159 to be normally distributed by examining the histogram, Q-Q, and box-plot of each variable. A  
160 chi-square test was used for categorical data. A p-value of <0.05 was used as the significance  
161 level throughout.

162

163 First, applicability of the LoCB for people with dementia was evaluated by examining the  
164 proportion of complete responses within the following seven MMSE-NR groups: 0-4, 5-9, 10-



165 14, 15-19, 20-24, 25-27, 28-30. The internal reliability of the LoCB in the seven groups was  
166 then examined using Cronbach's  $\alpha$ . Second, after dividing participants into "completers"  
167 versus "non-completers", both unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression models were set up  
168 to examine factors associated with completion of the LoCB. Variables with  $p < 0.2$  in the  
169 unadjusted analysis were included in the adjusted analysis.

170

171 Third, a principal component analysis (PCA) on complete data sets was performed. Results  
172 from the Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin measure of sampling adequacy, Bartlett's test of sphericity, and  
173 the sample sizes indicated the group was large enough and appropriate for a PCA. The  
174 procedure was as follows: first, an unforced PCA using varimax rotation was performed,  
175 followed by gradually enforcing fewer components until reaching only one. Loadings greater  
176 than or equal to 0.4 were judged to be significant. The process was repeated using oblimin  
177 rotation. The number of components best fitting the data was determined by evaluating the  
178 criterion of eigenvalues  $\geq 1$ , examining the scree plot and performing a Monte Carlo PCA for  
179 parallel analysis. Finally, LoCB sum scores and the sum scores of the LoCB subscales (as  
180 found through the PCA component analysis) were examined in relation to depressive  
181 symptomatology and the degree of cognitive impairment.

182

## 183 **Results**

### 184 *Participants' demographic and clinical characteristics*

185 The characteristics of participants with complete and incomplete responses on the LoCB are  
186 presented in Table 1. Those in the group with complete responses were younger, had a higher  
187 level of education, had a better cognitive function, and a better function in the activities of  
188 daily living compared to the non-completers. No significant differences were found between  
189 the groups regarding gender, marital status or level of depressive symptomatology

190 [Table 1 here]

191 *Completion percentages*

192 As seen in Table 2, the completion percentage decreased with decreasing cognitive function,  
193 from 74% (MMSE-NR 28-30) to 0% (MMSE-NR 0-9). Cronbach's  $\alpha$  in the different MMSE-  
194 NR groups ranged from 0.80 to 0.52.

195 [Table 2 here]

196 *Logistic regression analysis*

197 The unadjusted logistic regression analyses indicated there was no association between  
198 completion probability and severity of the depressive symptomatology (score on MADRS)  
199 ( $p=0.44$ ) or marital status ( $p=0.51$ ). In the adjusted analysis, age, education, and cognitive  
200 function (MMSE-NR) were significantly associated with LoCB completion. No interaction  
201 effect was found between MMSE-NR and age or between MMSE-NR and education.

202 [Table 3 here]

203 *Principal Component Analysis*

204 The PCA with three components enforced, using both the varimax and oblimin rotation  
205 methods, reached the best results. The component correlation matrix from the oblimin PCA  
206 revealed low correlations between the variables, indicating that the components were not  
207 related and thus showing that the varimax rotation procedure best fit the data, as shown in  
208 Table 4. The three components were labelled 'powerful others', 'luck/fate', and 'internal', and  
209 explained 41.3% of the variance. The Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of the full scale was 0.69. The powerful  
210 others, internal, and luck/fate subscale scores had a Cronbach's  $\alpha$  of 0.47, 0.67, and 0.65,  
211 respectively (Table 4).

212 [Table 4 here]

213 *LoCB scores in relation to level of depressive symptomatology and to level of cognitive*  
214 *impairment*

215 Table 5 shows that participants with MADRS >7 had higher scores on the total LoCB sum  
216 and on the internal and powerful others subscales compared to those with MADRS ≤ 7, but no  
217 difference on the luck/fate subscale. No significant differences were found on the LoCB sum  
218 or subscales when comparing participants with different MMSE-NR scores (data not shown).

219 [Table 5 here]

220

## 221 Discussion

222 The aim of the present study was to examine the applicability of the LoCB for people with  
223 dementia. Among participants with an MMSE-NR sum score ≥20, more than half completed  
224 the LoCB, but no participants with MMSE-NR ≤ 9 managed to complete the scale. Better  
225 cognitive functioning, younger age and a higher level of education increased the likelihood of  
226 completion. The internal consistency was good, with a high Cronbach's  $\alpha$  even among those  
227 with severe cognitive deficits, and the PCA revealed component structures similar to  
228 populations without cognitive deficits.

229

230 Ideally, however, we would have wanted an even higher completion rate to ascertain the  
231 applicability of the scale for this population. To explore potential reasons for completion of  
232 the LoCB, we performed a logistic regression analysis. Lower age, better cognitive  
233 functioning and higher education increased the likelihood of completion. Being younger may  
234 also mean having more stamina, and less cognitive deficit increases the ability to think in  
235 abstract ways. However, MMSE-NR only gives an indication of cognitive deficits regarding  
236 the items in that specific test and does not give a complete picture of what the individual  
237 person with dementia might struggle with. Higher education is known to postpone cognitive  
238 deficits, for example, due to an increased cognitive reserve (Stern, 2012). Highly educated

239 people may also be more accustomed to the type of setting that the interview was performed  
240 in, which can resemble an exam or a cognitively demanding meeting.

241

242 Although cognitive functioning, age, and education were indicative of higher completion  
243 probability, other non-measurable factors may have been influential as well. For instance, in  
244 both the ECOD and PADR studies, the LoCB was only one scale among a large test battery  
245 that the participants performed. Therefore, low completion rates could also be due to fatigue.  
246 Additionally, the uncommon and complicated wording may have been detrimental to  
247 comprehension. In general, people with cognitive deficits benefit from concise wording,  
248 whereas the items in the LoCB are phrased in a general and sometimes abstract way. Indeed,  
249 other studies have reported eliminating items from the scale, in order to increase  
250 comprehension and internal reliability (Bright, Kane, Marsh, & Bishop, 2013; Nordtug et al.,  
251 2011). Both studies, one with the English version and one with the Norwegian, included  
252 cognitively healthy participants, indicating that understanding the meaning of the LoCB items  
253 could be problematic in general. Adding a cognitive disability, therefore, seems likely to  
254 reduce the comprehension and thereby potentially the completion probability as well.

255

256 However, those who did complete the full scale gave valid answers despite sometimes  
257 difficult wording. The internal reliability analysis of the whole scale showed a Cronbach's  $\alpha$   
258 of 0.69. This relatively high internal reliability result remained even among those with  
259 MMSE-NR scores below 20. In the group with MMSE-NR 10-14 – although applying to only  
260 three participants – the Cronbach's  $\alpha$  was 0.80. These findings alone should guide researchers  
261 and practitioners to remember that a dementia diagnosis is not equal to reduced ability to  
262 comprehend complex questions and state valid opinions, as also argued by Wogn-Henriksen  
263 (2012). In her qualitative study on how the person with dementia experience the disease,

264 Wogn-Henriksen (2012) found they showed considerable insight and ability to understand and  
265 communicate their experiences. It is thus valuable to try to elicit more knowledge on coping  
266 mechanisms in this population, using for example the LoCB. Interestingly, in the group with  
267 MMSE-NR 25-27, the Cronbach's  $\alpha$  was 0.52, but statistical analysis did not reveal reasons  
268 for this discrepancy.

269

270 In addition to examining indicators for completion and completion rates, a PCA was  
271 undertaken to compare the LoCB component structure in a population with dementia with  
272 populations without cognitive deficits. The LoCB was found to be multidimensional, with an  
273 internal, powerful others, and luck/fate control orientation. Although this is in contrast to  
274 findings by its developers (Craig et al., 1984), the defragmentation of the external LoCB is  
275 consistent with both empirical and theoretical findings argued by others (Bright et al., 2013;  
276 Furnham & Steele, 1993; Johansson et al., 2001; Levenson, 1973; Wallston, Wallston, &  
277 DeVellis, 1978). Distinguishing between an external LoC based on powerful others versus  
278 luck/fate is valuable, since believing the world to be unordered may lead to chaotic or passive  
279 behaviour, whilst those believing in powerful others may still manage to effectively produce  
280 wanted outcomes. The distinction is both meaningful and important when trying to understand  
281 how people act.

282

283 Finally, we examined if LoCB scores were associated with depressive symptoms or the  
284 degree of cognitive impairment. No differences were found regarding the latter, indicating  
285 that the degree of impairment does not alter one's LoC orientation. More depressive  
286 symptoms, however, were associated with a higher LoCB sum score, and internal and  
287 powerful others subscale scores. This resonates with prior research on depressed older people  
288 in Norway, where depression was associated with a higher LoCB sum score (Bjørkløf et al.,

289 2015). This finding further strengthens the notion that the participants in our study who  
290 completed the LoCB understood its complex questions, thereby strengthening the  
291 applicability of the LoCB for people with dementia.

292

293 The present study has some limitations. The data came from two projects, posing the potential  
294 risk of different data collection methods and contextual conditions. The Norwegian version of  
295 the LoCB has not been validated, and we therefore only have a small number of comparable  
296 results making interpretations difficult. On the other hand, the study is strengthened by the  
297 inclusion of participants with dementia from a variety of healthcare services, such as  
298 specialist and primary healthcare institutions, minimizing the risk of subgroup effects. The  
299 dementia diagnosis was made by experienced physicians, and well-established and validated  
300 scales have been used for evaluating cognition and depression. Finally, a major strength  
301 regarding the LoCB analyses is the sole use of data sets with complete responses. All 17 items  
302 of the original scale were analysed, and no missing items were imputed.

303

### 304 **Conclusion**

305 Persons with dementia who completed the LoCB scale gave valid answers, and the LoCB sum  
306 scores increased with depressive symptoms, as previously shown in populations without  
307 dementia. Though older age, more severe cognitive impairment, and a lower level of  
308 education increase the risk of non-completion, we argue that the scale is applicable for use  
309 among people with dementia and has the potential to help us better understand control  
310 orientation in this population. This is valuable information for effectively preventing and  
311 treating depression among people with dementia.

312

313  
314

## References

- 315 Areán, P. A., Raue, P., Mackin, R. S., Kanellopoulos, D., McCulloch, C., & Alexopoulos, G.  
316 S. (2010). Problem-solving therapy and supportive therapy in older adults with major  
317 depression and executive dysfunction. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *167*(11),  
318 1391. doi:10.1176/appi.ajp.2010.09091327
- 319 Barca, M. L., Persson, K., Eldholm, R., Benth, J. Š., Kersten, H., Knapskog, A.-B., . . .  
320 Engedal, K. (2017). Trajectories of depressive symptoms and their relationship to the  
321 progression of dementia. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *222*, 146-152.  
322 doi:10.1016/j.jad.2017.07.008
- 323 Barca, M. L., Selbæk, G., Engedal, K., & Laks, J. (2009). Factors associated with depression  
324 in Norwegian nursing homes. *International Journal of Geriatric Psychiatry*, *24*(4),  
325 417-425. doi:10.1002/gps.2139
- 326 Benassi, V. A., Sweeney, P. D., Dufour, C. L., & Fowles, D. C. (1988). Is There a Relation  
327 Between Locus of Control Orientation and Depression? *Journal of Abnormal*  
328 *Psychology*, *97*(3), 357-367. doi:10.1037/0021-843X.97.3.357
- 329 Bjørkløf, G. H., Engedal, K., Selbæk, G., Kouwenhoven, S. E., & Helvik, A.-S. (2013).  
330 Coping and Depression in Old Age: A Literature Review. *Dementia and Geriatric*  
331 *Cognitive Disorders*, *35*(3/4), 121-154. doi:10.1159/000346633
- 332 Bjørkløf, G. H., Engedal, K., Selbæk, G., Maia, D. B., Coutinho, E. S. F., & Helvik, A.-S.  
333 (2015). Locus of control and coping strategies in older persons with and without  
334 depression. *Aging & Mental Health*, 1-9. doi:10.1080/13607863.2015.1040722
- 335 Bright, S. J., Kane, R., Marsh, A., & Bishop, B. (2013). Psychometric Properties of the Locus  
336 of Control of Behaviour Scale (LCBS) among Australians Seeking Alcohol and Other  
337 Drug (AOD) Treatment. *Australian Psychologist*, *48*(3), 172-177. doi:10.1111/j.1742-  
338 9544.2012.00094.x
- 339 Bruvik, F. K., Ulstein, I. D., Ranhoff, A. H., & Engedal, K. (2013). The effect of coping on  
340 the burden in family carers of persons with dementia. *Aging & Mental Health*, *17*(8),  
341 973-978. doi:10.1080/13607863.2013.790928
- 342 Craig, A. R., Franklin, J. A., & Andrews, G. (1984). A scale to measure locus of control of  
343 behaviour. *British Journal of Medical Psychology*, *57*(2), 173-180.  
344 doi:10.1111/j.2044-8341.1984.tb01597.x
- 345 Dyb, G., Holen, A., Steinberg, A. M., Rodriguez, N., & Pynoos, R. S. (2003). Alleged sexual  
346 abuse at a day care center: impact on parents. *Child Abuse and Neglect*, *27*(8), 939-  
347 950. doi:10.1016/s0145-2134(03)00141-8
- 348 Enache, D., Winblad, B., & Aarsland, D. (2011). Depression in dementia: epidemiology,  
349 mechanisms, and treatment. *Current opinion in psychiatry*, *24*(6), 461.  
350 doi:10.1097/YCO.0b013e32834bb9d4
- 351 Engedal, K., Kvaal, K., Korsnes, M., Barca, M. L., Borza, T., Selbaek, G., & Aakhus, E.  
352 (2012). The validity of the Montgomery–Aasberg depression rating scale as a  
353 screening tool for depression in later life. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *141*(2-3),  
354 227-232. doi:10.1016/j.jad.2012.02.042
- 355 Fiske, A., Wetherell, J. L., & Gatz, M. (2009). Depression in Older Adults. *Annual Review of*  
356 *Clinical Psychology*, *5*, 363-389. doi:10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.032408.153621
- 357 Furnham, A., & Steele, H. (1993). Measuring locus of control: a critique of general,  
358 children's, health- and work-related locus of control questionnaires. *British Journal of*  
359 *Psychology*, *84* ( Pt 4), 443-479.
- 360 Helvik, A.-S., Bjørkløf, G. H., Corazzini, K., Selbæk, G., Laks, J., Østbye, T., & Engedal, K.  
361 (2016). Are coping strategies and locus of control orientation associated with health-

362 related quality of life in older adults with and without depression? *Archives of*  
363 *Gerontology and Geriatrics*, 64, 130-137. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2016.01.014

364 Johansson, B., Grant, J. D., Plomin, R., Pedersen, N. L., Ahern, F., Berg, S., & McClearn, G.  
365 E. (2001). Health locus of control in late life: a study of genetic and environmental  
366 influences in twins aged 80 years and older. *Health Psychology*, 20(1), 33-40.

367 Kiosses, D. N., Ravdin, L. D., Gross, J. J., Raue, P., Kotbi, N., & Alexopoulos, G. S. (2015).  
368 Problem Adaptation Therapy for Older Adults With Major Depression and Cognitive  
369 Impairment: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *JAMA Psychiatry*, 72(1), 22-30.  
370 doi:10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.1305

371 Kitching, D. (2015). Depression in dementia. *Australian prescriber*, 38(6), 209.

372 Knapskog, A.-B., Barca, M. L., & Engedal, K. (2011). A comparison of the validity of the  
373 Cornell Scale and the MADRS in detecting depression among memory clinic patients.  
374 *Dementia and Geriatric Cognitive Disorders*, 32(4), 287. doi:10.1159/000334983

375 Kurz, A., Thone-Otto, A., Cramer, B., Egert, S., Frolich, L., Gertz, H. J., . . . Werheid, K.  
376 (2012). CORDIAL: cognitive rehabilitation and cognitive-behavioral treatment for  
377 early dementia in Alzheimer disease: a multicenter, randomized, controlled trial.  
378 *Alzheimer Disease and Associated Disorders*, 26(3), 246-253.  
379 doi:10.1097/WAD.0b013e318231e46e

380 Lawton, M. P., & Brody, E. M. (1969). Assessment of older people: self-maintaining and  
381 instrumental activities of daily living. *The Gerontologist*, 9(3), 179.

382 Levenson, H. (1973). Multidimensional locus of control in psychiatric patients. *Journal of*  
383 *Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 41(3), 397-404. doi:10.1037/h0035357

384 Montgomery, S. A., & Asberg, M. (1979). A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to  
385 change. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 134(4), 382-389.  
386 doi:10.1192/bjp.134.4.382

387 Nordtug, B., Krokstad, S., & Holen, A. (2011). Personality features, caring burden and mental  
388 health of cohabitants of partners with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease or  
389 dementia. *Aging & Mental Health*, 15(3), 318-326.

390 Orgeta, V., Qazi, A., Spector, A., & Orrell, M. (2015). Psychological treatments for  
391 depression and anxiety in dementia and mild cognitive impairment: systematic review  
392 and meta-analysis. *The British Journal of Psychiatry*, 207(4), 293-298.  
393 doi:10.1192/bjp.bp.114.148130

394 Rokstad, A. M., Halse, I., Tretteteig, S., Barca, M. L., Kirkevold, Ø., McCabe, L., . . .  
395 Engedal, K. (2014). Effects and Costs of a Day Care Centre Program Designed for  
396 People with Dementia-A 24 Month Controlled Study. *Clinical Trials (London,*  
397 *England)*, 4(4). doi:10.4172/2167-0870.1000182

398 Rotter, J. B. (1966). Generalized expectancies for internal versus external control of  
399 reinforcement. *Psychological Monographs*, 80(1), 1-28.

400 Stern, Y. (2012). Cognitive reserve in ageing and Alzheimer's disease. *The Lancet Neurology*,  
401 11(11), 1006-1012. doi:10.1016/s1474-4422(12)70191-6

402 Strobel, C., & Engedal, K. (2008). MMSE-NR. Norsk Revidert Mini Mental Status  
403 Evaluering. Revidert og utvidet manual. . *Nasjonal Kompetansetjeneste for Aldring og*  
404 *Helse*.

405 Wallston, K. A., Wallston, B. S., & DeVellis, R. (1978). Development of the  
406 Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) Scales. *Health Education*  
407 *Monographs*, 6(2), 160-170.

408 Wogn-Henriksen, K. (2012). "Du må ... skape deg et liv" : en kvalitativ studie om å oppleve  
409 og leve med demens basert på intervjuer med en gruppe personer med tidlig  
410 debuterende Alzheimers sykdom. (2012:202), Norges teknisk-naturvitenskapelige



411 universitet, Fakultet for samfunnsvitenskap og teknologiledelse, Psykologisk institutt,  
412 Trondheim.  
413  
414

415  
416  
417  
418  
419  
420

## Tables

**Table 1.** Demographic and clinical characteristics in groups with and without complete LoCBs.

| Characteristics                  | All (n=534) | Complete LoCB (n=234) | Incomplete LoCB (n=300) | p-value             |
|----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| Age, mean (sd) (n=534)           | 78.43 (8.1) | 77.3 (SD 8.2)         | 79.3 (7.8)              | 0.003 <sup>1</sup>  |
| Female, n (%) (n=534)            | 311 (58.2)  | 125 (53.4)            | 186 (62.0)              | 0.057 <sup>2</sup>  |
| Education, <10yrs, n (%) (n=524) | 263 (50.2)  | 91 (39.7)             | 172 (58.3)              | <0.001 <sup>2</sup> |
| Unmarried, n (%) (n=531)         | 242 (45.6)  | 102 (44.0)            | 140 (46.8)              | 0.570 <sup>2</sup>  |
| LoCB, mean (sd) (n=234)          | N A         | 30.8 (10.5)           | N A                     |                     |
| MMSE-NR, mean (sd) (n=520)       | 19.8 (5.6)  | 22.2 (3.7)            | 17.9 (6.2)              | <0.001 <sup>3</sup> |
| MADRS, mean (sd) (n=483)         | 4.6 (5.1)   | 4.8 (5.4)             | 4.5 (4.8)               | 0.672 <sup>3</sup>  |
| ADL, mean (sd) (n=417)           | 21.0 (6.5)  | 19.4 (6.2)            | 22.2 (6.4)              | <0.001 <sup>3</sup> |

421 <sup>1</sup>Independent sample t-test <sup>2</sup>Chi-square test for independence <sup>3</sup>Mann–Whitney test

422 NA=Not applicable

423

424 (LoCB: Locus of Control of Behaviour scale; MADRS: Montgomery–Aasberg Depression  
425 Rating scale; MMSE-NR: Mini-Mental Status Examination-Norwegian Revised; I-ADL:  
426 Instrumental-Activities of Daily Living scale)

427

428

429

430 **Table 2:** Completion percentages and internal reliability of LoCB in relation to MMSE-NR  
 431 score.

| <b>MMSE-NR</b> | <b>Complete LoCB<br/>% (N)</b> | <b>Total<br/>N</b> | <b>Cronbach's <math>\alpha</math></b> |
|----------------|--------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------|
| <b>0-4</b>     | 0                              | 16                 | -                                     |
| <b>5-9</b>     | 0                              | 12                 | -                                     |
| <b>10-14</b>   | 14% (3)                        | 21                 | 0.80                                  |
| <b>15-19</b>   | 34% (63)                       | 185                | 0.73                                  |
| <b>20-24</b>   | 55% (101)                      | 185                | 0.72                                  |
| <b>25-27</b>   | 63% (50)                       | 79                 | 0.52                                  |
| <b>28-30</b>   | 74% (17)                       | 23                 | 0.72                                  |
| <b>Total</b>   | 234                            | 534                | 0.69                                  |

432  
 433 (LoCB: Locus of Control of Behaviour scale; MMSE-NR: Mini-Mental Status Examination-  
 434 Norwegian Revised)  
 435  
 436  
 437

438  
439

**Table 3:** Logistic regression predicting likelihood of LoCB completion.

| Variable         | Unadjusted |           |         | Adjusted |           |         |
|------------------|------------|-----------|---------|----------|-----------|---------|
|                  | OR         | 95% C.I.  | P-value | OR       | 95% C.I.  | P-value |
| <b>Gender</b>    | 1.42       | 1.00-2.01 | 0.046   | 1.21     | 0.73-2.02 | 0.453   |
| <b>Age</b>       | 0.97       | 0.97-0.99 | 0.003   | 0.96     | 0.93-0.99 | 0.007   |
| <b>Education</b> | 2.12       | 1.49-3.01 | <0.001  | 1.59     | 1.01-2.49 | 0.044   |
| <b>Married</b>   | 1.12       | 0.80-1.58 | 0.512   |          |           |         |
| <b>MADRS</b>     | 1.01       | 0.98-1.05 | 0.444   |          |           |         |
| <b>MMSE-NR</b>   | 1.22       | 1.16-1.27 | <0.001  | 1.21     | 1.14-1.29 | <0.001  |
| <b>I-ADL</b>     | 0.93       | 0.91-0.96 | <0.001  | 1.01     | 0.97-1.05 | 0.732   |

440  
441  
442  
443  
444

(LoCB: Locus of Control of Behaviour scale; MADRS: Montgomery–Aasberg Depression Rating scale; MMSE-NR: Mini-Mental Status Examination-Norwegian Revised; I-ADL: Instrumental-Activities of Daily Living scale)

**Table 4.** Principal component analysis of the LoCB.

|  | Powerful<br>others | Component |           |
|--|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
|  |                    | Internal  | Luck/Fate |
| 12: When I am under stress, the tightness in my muscles is due to things outside my control. | 0.635              |           |           |
| 15: I understand why my problem(s) varies so much from one occasion to the next.             | -0.593             |           |           |
| 6: My problem(s) will dominate me all my life.   | 0.585              |           |           |
| 11: To continually manage my problems I need professional help.                              | 0.517              |           |           |
| 14: It is impossible to control my irregular breathing when I am having difficulties.        | 0.506              |           |           |
| 10: People are victims of circumstances beyond their control.                                | 0.505              |           | 0.428     |
| 4: I can control my problem(s) only if I have outside support.                               | 0.413              |           |           |
| 13: I believe a person can really be the master of his fate.                                 |                    | 0.742     |           |
| 8: Becoming a success is a matter of hard work, luck has little or nothing to do with it.    |                    | 0.649     |           |
| 16: I am confident of being able to deal successfully with future problems.                  |                    | 0.593     |           |
| 5: When I make plans, I am almost certain that I can make them work.                         |                    | 0.575     |           |
| 7: My mistakes and problems are my responsibility to deal with.                              |                    | 0.517     |           |
| 1: I can anticipate difficulties and take action to avoid them.                              |                    | 0.439     |           |
| 3: Everyone knows that luck or chance determines one's future.                               |                    |           | 0.817     |
| 17: In my case maintaining control over my problem(s) is due mostly to luck.                 |                    |           | 0.728     |
| 2: A great deal of what happens to me is probably just a matter of chance.                   |                    |           | 0.579     |
| 9: My life is controlled by outside actions and events.                                      |                    |           | 0.528     |
| Eigenvalue   | 3.3                | 2.2       | 1.5       |
| Explained variance   | 19.3               | 13.0      | 9.0       |
| Cronbach's $\alpha$  | 0.47               | 0.67      | 0.65      |

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis. Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Rotation converged in 5 iterations.

447 **Table 5:** LoCB sum and subscale scores according to presence of depression.

448

| LoCB                            | Complete LoCB (n=234) |                    |        |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|
|                                 | MADRS                 |                    |        |
|                                 | $\leq 7$<br>Mean (SD) | $> 7$<br>Mean (SD) | P      |
| Sum <sup>1</sup>                | 28.0                  | 38.5               | <0.001 |
| Powerful<br>others <sup>1</sup> | 12.0                  | 18.0               | <0.001 |
| Internal <sup>1</sup>           | 7.0                   | 11.0               | <0.001 |
| Luck/Fate <sup>1</sup>          | 8.0                   | 9.0                | 0.138  |

449 <sup>1</sup> Mann–Whitney test

450

451 (LoCB: Locus of Control of Behaviour scale; MADRS: Montgomery–Aasberg Depression  
452 Rating scale)

453

454

455

456